ATF

Category:
Measurement and control
ID: 5

Low Level RF Workshop 2023

“Digital LLRF feedbacks development, implementation and test at KEK LUCX facility”

POPOV Konstantin
ARYSHEV Alexander
TERUNUMA Nobuhiro

24th October 2023

ATF

1. Introduction into KEK LUCX facility and research motivation;
2. Digital Low-Level Radio Frequency feedback implementation technique;
3. Developed signal processing and feedback algorithm;
4. Experiments performed at KEK LUCX facility;
5. Summary and conclusion

Laser-Compton X-Ray generation experiment at KEK LUCX facility



Figure 1: Laser-Compton backscattering geometry schematics



Figure 2: Schematics of the Laser-Compton X-Ray generation at KEK LUCX facility



Figure 3: KEK LUCX facility beamline schematic: **Qd** is the quadrupole doublet, **St** is the steering magnet

Research motivation

$$\lambda = 1064\ nm$$
$$E_l = \frac{h \cdot c}{\lambda} = 1.165\ eV$$



$$E = 22.5\ MeV$$

Figure 4: Laser-Compton backscattering geometry schematics



Figure 5: X-Ray energy distribution calculated at the X-Ray Detector location in CAIN (calculated by K. Sakaue and Y. Koshiba)

Laser-Compton X-Ray bandwidth:

$$\frac{dE_\gamma}{E_\gamma} \approx \sqrt{\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sigma_x}\right)^4 + 4 \cdot \left(\frac{dE}{E}\right)^2}$$

X-Ray energy:

$$E_\gamma = 4 \cdot \gamma^2 \cdot E_l$$
$$E_\gamma = 9\ keV$$



Figure 6: Bunch parameters: (a) is the norm. trans. emittance vs RF-Gun phase, (b) is the bunch charge vs RF-Gun phase

Motivation:

Stable accelerating field = stable beam parameters = stable laser-Compton X-Ray source at KEK LUCX facility

Goal:

Develop, implement and test a digital Low-Level Radio Frequency (LLRF) feedback for precise control of RF field at KEK LUCX facility

RedPitya STEMlab 125-14 FPGA board overview



Figure 7: RedPitaya STEMlab 125-14 FPGA board



Figure 8: Schematic of single RedPitaya STEMlab 125-14 FPGA board communication logic with PC

Digital Down Conversion (DDC)&Digital Up Conversion (DUC) technique



Figure 9: Schematic of DDC&DUC technique implementation into LLRF system

Figure 10: Frequency divider (1/4)

Figure 11: 10 MHz REF Input (example)

Figure 12: RF-Mixer: Mini-circuits ZFM-4212+

Figure 13: 2856 MHz Band Pass Filter photo

Figure 14: 40 MHz Band-Pass Filter

RF-Gun accelerating field waveform



Figure 15: RF-Gun RF field waveform: **(a)** and **(b)** are RF-Gun accelerating field phase and zoomed in stable region of the phase, **(c)** and **(d)** are RF-Gun accelerating field amplitude and zoomed in stable region of the amplitude



Figure 16: Block diagramm of the signal processing and feedback algorithm implemented into the RedPitaya STEMlab 125-15 FPGA board

Signal processing and feedback algorithm: illustration example



Figure 17: Phase correction illustration



Figure 18: Amplitude correction illustration

Experimental setup (RF-Gun cavity)



Figure 19: Experimental setup of the feedback performance for the RF-Gun cavity

Figure 20: Keysight E5052B SSA



Figure 21: CW signals phase noise map

The feedback performance results (RF-Gun)



Figure 22: RF-Gun accelerating field stability experimental data (**blue line** is **FDB OFF**, **orange line** is **FDB avr. ON**, **red line** is **FDB avr. + fluct. elim ON**): (a), (b) and (c) are RF-Gun phase stability during 4 hours, first 10 minutes and histogram; (d), (e) and (f) are RF-Gun phase stability during 4 hours, first 10 minutes and histogram

Experiment with electron beam: setup



Figure 23: Experimental setup



Figure 24: Average energy vs image centroid position calibration curve (blue dots is exp. data and redline is linear fit)



Figure 25: Typical image on screen measured by CCD

1. Detect image
2. Fit with Gauss
3. Calculate horizontal dispersion at screen loc.
4. Use eq. 1

$$\Delta E = \Delta x \cdot E/\eta_x \quad (1)$$

η_x is 590 mm

E is 7.7 MeV

Experiment with electron beam: results



Figure 26: Experimental data (blue line is **FDB. OFF** and red line is **FDB avr.+ fluct. elim. ON**): (a) is the cavity surface temperature, (b) is the field amplitude, (c) is the field phase, (d) is the average energy, (e) is the energy spread, (f) is the bunch charge vs time

Experiment with electron beam: results



Figure 27: Experimental data (blue line is **FDB. OFF** and red line is **FDB avr.+ fluct. elim. ON**): (a) is the cavity surface temperature, (b) is the field amplitude, (c) is the field phase, (d) is the average energy, (e) is the energy spread, (f) is the bunch charge vs time

Experiment with electron beam: histograms



Figure 28: Experimental data (**blue histogram** is **FDB. OFF** and **red histogram** is **FDB. avr + fluct. elim. ON**): (a) is the field amplitude, (b) is the field phase, (c) is the average energy, (d) is the energy spread, (e) is the bunch charge

Summary and conclusion

Table 1: Summary

Parameter	Value	Peak-to-peak stability with FDB. OFF	Peak-to-peak stability with FDB. avr. + fluct. elim. ON	OFF / ON
RF-Gun accelerating field amplitude, arb. units	7900	254	50	5
RF-Gun accelerating field phase, degree	23	11.5	1	11.5
Average energy, MeV	7.7	0.220	0.021	10.5
Energy spread (RMS), keV	25	58	5	11.6
Bunch charge, pC	250	148	15	10.0

Conclusion:

Digital Low-Level Radio Frequency Feedback based on RedPitaya STEMlab 125-14 FPGA board was developed, implemented and tested at KEK LUCX facility. The phase and amplitude were stabilized 11.5 times and 5 times, respectively. As a result, beam average energy, energy spread and bunch charge stability was improved 10 times. As an optimistic prediction, laser-Compton X-Ray characteristics stability will be improved 10 times too.


THANK YOU FOR
ATTENTION!

Electron beam parameters jitter influence on Compton X-ray photons parameters



Figure Bc.1.: Inverse Compton scattering phenomena.

Bad synchronization case. It means, feedback is turned OFF

Compton laser pulse (RMS) length at IP is equal to 3 ps.
Electron beam arrival time jitter is 1.1ps, while the bunch length is 4ps. So, 27.5% the bunch charge is lost because of time arrival jitter of electron bunch => **Compton photons intensity is quarter less because of time arrival jitter of electron bunch.**



Figure Bc.2.: Inverse Compton scattering phenomena.

Good synchronization case. It means, feedback is turned ON

Compton laser pulse (RMS) length at IP is equal to 3 ps.
Electron beam arrival time jitter is 100fs , while the bunch length is 4ps. As a conclusion, 3% of the bunch charge is lost because of time arrival jitter of electron bunch => **Compton photons intensity is close to the ideal case.**